

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/818,700	03/27/2001	William F. Avrin	1502-088CON 3629 EXAMINER	
616	7590 06/02/2004			
THE MAXHAM FIRM			MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M	
750 "B" STRI SAN DIEGO.	EET, SUITE 3100 . CA 92101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3737	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.0			
	09/818,700	AVRIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (a) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this commodered (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 /	March 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the n	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-118</u> is/are pending in the applical	lion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-118</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• '	1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	10(a) (d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ir priority under 33 0.3.C. g	19(a)-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received					
		olication No	4 ; = , * (			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Burea		sceived iii tilis National St	age			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ucaivad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a its	t of the certified copies not re	ceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/15 11/18 06/08.	6) Other:		UL)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	, —					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	05282004			

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 61-118 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,496,713.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they constitute obvious alternate variations and groupings and the method steps of the '713 Patent require the currently claimed apparatus.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3737

4. Claims 61-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulson et al. '217 in view of Ohyu'031.

Paulson et al.'217 teach an apparatus for non-invasively measuring magnetic susceptibility variations in the body tissue of a patient to determine a compositional state in the body, the apparatus comprising:

a detector assembly that includes at least one magnetic sensor and an applied field coil for generating a magnetic field (see col. 5, lines 38-44 and col. 8, lines 9-33);

means for processing signals from said at least one magnetic sensor of observed magnetic susceptibility variations in body tissue and means for outputting data from said detector assembly corresponding to a compositional state in the body (col. 5, lines 49-67);

a non-conductive, non-magnetic, substantially rigid barrier (referring to barriers 58 and 60; see col. 7, lines 32-41);

a flexible membrane below said barrier to form a container therewith, there being a deformable material within and occupying most of the volume within said container, said material having a magnetic susceptibility substantially equivalent to that of the body tissue, said container also being deformable to fill in substantially all gaps between said barrier and the patient's body (See Figure 1, wherein the waterbag 22 is between the susceptibility measuring apparatus 12 and the patient 18 and also see col. 6, lines 15-22).

Paulson et al.'217 do not expressly teach the bag being sealed to the barrier, but even if not sealed the same end effect results which is ability to measure the susceptibility of tissue of interest thereby making the connection one of functional equivalency.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

Paulson et al. '217 do not expressly teach wherein the use of the applied field coil comprises at least two concentric circular spiral coils.

In the same field of endeavor, Ohyu'031 teaches in a SQUID the applied field coil comprises at least two concentric circular spiral coils (see Figure 7 which indicate three concentric circular spiral coils).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to have modified Paulson et al. '217 and incorporated the teachings of Ohyu'031 in order to use the pickup coils in the magnetometer sensor of Paulson et al. '217 as they improve the sensitivity in a depth direction and improve the overall signal-to-noise ratio (see in Ohyu'031, col. 2, lines 40-46; referring to the motivation to combine).

Art Unit: 3737

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is 703 308-0899. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Mantis Mercader Primary Examiner

Markentende

Art Unit 3737